Attribution	of legality	to a use of force	in selected cases
	- J - O J	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

		Alleged	l Threat	Response		Response directed to political regimes										Vote									ast,			
Year Origin of the Threat			Alleged		Hostiles Regimes			Failed States		Authoritarian Regimes		International	Multilateral		Security Council			Permanent Members			General Assembly			0.A.S.		ıodsəı		
	1 11 1	Nature	Variant	usual category	Real from panoply	Avoid their consolidation in power	Provoke a regime change	Neutralize their action	Hostile Bases	Regime change	Install a democracy	Restore a democracy	Investigation of Facts	Response	Resolution Number	F Ag	Abs	s us	GB	FR	СН	RU	F	Ag	Abs	F	Ag Al	lity
1956	Hungary	imminent	hostile regime	self-defence	armed intervention (regime change)	X						restore an authoritarian regime	condemned	condemned by UNGA	A/1133	could not condemn because of crossed vetoes							60	10	10	not c	ompetent	GI
1967 Six Days War	imminent	anticipation	self-defence	precautionary self-defence			X					not proposed	asked for Israeli retreat; Chap. VII	242	unanimity x						X			not competent	GL			
													UNGA rejected a condemnatory porposal	x								22	71	27	noi competent			
1976	Entebbe	carried out (1)	armed attack (1)	self-defence	armed intervention (2)			X					not proposed	not condemned		considered but not condemned						the issue was not considered			not c	ompetent	GI	
1978 <i>Litani</i> <i>Operation</i>		carried out	armed attack	self-defence	armed intervention				X				not proposed	requested Israeli withdrawal	425	12 0	2	F	F	F	N	Α		the issue was not considered	not competent	I		
	imminent	sequence of events		(3)			 						<i>winninwar</i>															
1979	Afghanistan (USSR)	imminent	hostile regime	self-defence	armed intervention (regime change)		X	X			install an authoritarian regime		not proposed	the Assembly condemned	A/ES-6/2	couldn´t condemn because of a USSR veto						104	18	8	not c	ompetent	MI	
1981	Irak (Osirak)	possible	prevention	precautionary self-defence	preventive intervention			X					not proposed	condemned and ordered reparations	487 A/36/27	unanimity x						109	X 109 2 34			ompetent	I	
1982 Nicaragua (sandinism)	Nicaragua	carried out (4)	hostile	collective self-	containment								question submitted to the ICJ, that only considered the	UNGA condemned external involvement in the region	38/10	couldn´t condemn because of a US veto						X				X		
	(sandinism)	possible (5)	regime	defence	armed intervention (regime change)	X(6)	X(7)	X(6)				X	information provided by the parties		I.C.J. condemned the US									GI				
Iraa	Iraq		anned	collective self-	collective self-									UNSC authorized the use of force 678		12 2 1 F F F A		F	F X									
1990	(Kuwait)	carried out	armed attack	defence; Chap. VII	defence; Chap. VII			X					not proposed	condemned violations against Kuwaiti human rights	A/45/170	X					144	1	0	not competen		t L		
1999	Kosovo	carried out	crimes against Humanity (8)	humanitarian intervention	humanitarian intervention; deterrence		X	X					OSCE mission	didn't authorize the use of force	X	Could not authorize due a threat of a veto from Russia					the issue was not considered			not c	ompetent	GI		
2001	Afghanistan	carried out	armed	self-defence	armed intervention		X	X			X		not proposed	recognized the right	1368	unanimity						X			not competent	GL		
20			attack (9)		(regime change)									to self-defence	A/56/1	X					approved without a vote			····· F ····· F				
2003	Iraq	possible	hostile regime	unilateral Chap VII	armed intervention (regime change)		X	X			X		UNSCOM condemned Iraq lack of cooperation	ultimatum	intervention n	not authorized due a threat of a veto from France, Russia and China						the issue was not considered			not c	ompetent	GI	
2008	Israel in Gaza	carried out	armed attack	self-defence	armed intervention; deterrence (10)			X	X				not proposed	condemned the lack of proportionality of the response	1860	unanimity					the issue was not considered			not c	ompetent	D		
	a ces : (1) Attack orist attack in th				m of nationals		vention again	st terrorist b	ases; (4) Reg	gime change	in neighboring	countries; (5) A	gainst US interests i	n the region; (6) In r	ieighboring coi	untries; (7) Ir	1 Nicar	agua; (8)	Mass	ive violı	ations	of huma	n rights	(ethnic	cleans	ing);		

1